TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 4, 2003 LB 94A, 148, 273, 274, 417, 539, 608 LR 11 40, 41

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you Senator Brashear and Senator Connealy. Mr. Clerk, do you have items?

CLERK: I do, Mr. President. Thank you. Your Committee on Education reports LB 417 indefinitely postponed. LB 539 is reported to General File with committee amendments attached by the Revenue Committee. Those reports signed by their respective Senator Combs would move to withdraw LR 40. That will be laid over. New resolution, Mr. President, LR 41 by Senator That, likewise, will be laid over. Priority bill designations: Senator Friend, LB 148; Senator Landis, LB 608. Senator Chambers, amendments to LB 273 and LB 274, to be printed. Hearing notice from Revenue Committee. And the last item, Mr. President, an Abill, LB 94A, by Senator Schrock. (Read title.) That's all that I have. Thank you. (Legislative Journal pages 716-725.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. On with discussion of AMO656, offered by Senator Janssen to LR 11CA, Senator Redfield, followed by Senator Bourne and ten others. Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the body. Would Senator Schimek respond to a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schimek, are you available for a question?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes. Thank you, Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you. Senator Schimek, I had some questions about the language when I first read it. And I'm referring to the section about the Legislature authorizing up to eight sites. And I'm not reading that as in addition to what is in the subsection immediately preceding, which would be the Indian sites. So my question is, if in fact the tribes were to establish eight facilities in the state, would that mean they're all gone, I mean, they're all taken, and the state would not be able to authorize any others? Or was the intent of that paragraph to be in addition to ones operated by the tribes?